

Česká televize

WRITTEN REPORT ON BID EVALUATION

CONTRACTING AUTHORITY: **ČESKÁ TELEVIZE [Czech TV]**
Established by Act No. 483/1991 Coll. on Czech TV
Not recorded in the Commercial Register
Registered office: Kavčí hory, Na Hřebenech II 1132/4, 140 70 Prague 4
Represented by: Petr Dvořák, General Director
Company ID: 00027383

PUBLIC CONTRACT:

Film scanner

On December 18, 2018, the Contracting Authority prepared this report on bid evaluation pursuant to Section 119 of Act No. 134/2016 on public procurement (hereinafter “the Act”).

1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROCUREMENT PROCEDURE

Public contract title: Film scanner
Public procurement type: Open, above the limit procedure
Contracting Authority designation: ČESKÁ TELEVIZE [Czech TV], established by Act No. 483/1991 Coll. on Czech TV, not recorded in the Commercial Register
Registered office: Kavčí hory, Na Hřebenech II 1132/4, 140 70 Prague 4
Company ID: 00027383
Public contract system number on profile: P18V00139015
Public contract registration number: Z2018-000553

2. LIST OF PERSONS INVOLVED IN BID EVALUATION

Committee members:

- 1. Hana Červenková**
- 2. Jaroslav Sládek**
- 3. Miroslav Čemus**
- 4. Martin Rajman**

3. DECLARATION OF NO CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The Committee members herewith declare that they have no conflicting interests in relation to this public contract/the participants of this procurement procedure pursuant to Section 44 of the Act, thus having no interest in obtaining any personal benefit in connection with this public contract or reducing the contracting authority’s financial or other benefit.

4. LIST OF EVALUATED BIDS

Identification data of the procurement procedure participants whose bid was evaluated:

Identification data of Participant 1:

Supplier:	INTERLAB s.r.o.
Registered office:	3 rue Marguerite de Navarre, F-78540 Vernouillet, France
Legal form:	Limited Liability Company
Company ID:	330255415

LaserGraphics D10K

Identification data of Participant 2:

Supplier:	International Video Communication, spol. s r.o.
Registered office:	U nákladového nádraží 2, 13000 Prague 3
Legal form:	Limited Liability Company
Company ID:	00202681

DFT Scanity

Identification data of Participant 3:

Supplier:	Agora plus a.s.
Registered office:	Řípská 1321/11c, 627 00 Brno
Legal form:	Joint Stock Company
Company ID:	25503910

ARRI-Scan

Identification data of Participant 4:

Supplier:	TRACO SYSTEMS a.s.
Registered office:	Radimova 2342/36, 16900 Prague 6
Legal form:	Joint Stock Company
Company ID:	26200660

**DigitalVission
GoldenEye****5. DESCRIPTION OF BID EVALUATION****5.1. Evaluated bid data corresponding to evaluation criteria****Bid No. 1:**

Supplier:	INTERLAB s.r.o.
Registered office:	3 rue Marguerite de Navarre, F-78540 Vernouillet, France
Legal form:	Limited Liability Company
Company ID:	330255415

Data subject to evaluation:

A. Bid price in EUR exclusive of VAT:	
B. The option to expand the input data format above the required minimum of 4K	10K
C.1.1. Line test	81
C.1.2. MTF curve evaluation test	0.81
C.2.1. Density test	4

C.2.2. Edge sharpness	1.16
C.2.3. Dynamic range	1.12

Bid No. 2:

Supplier:	International Video Communication, spol. s r.o.
Registered office:	U nákladového nádraží 2, 13000 Prague 3
Legal form:	Limited Liability Company
Company ID:	00202681

Data subject to evaluation:

A. Bid price in EUR exclusive of VAT:	
B. The option to expand the input data format above the required minimum of 4K	0
C.1.1. Line test	65
C.1.2. MTF curve evaluation test	0.75
C.2.1. Density test	4
C.2.2. Edge sharpness	2.53
C.2.3. Dynamic range	2.30

Bid No. 3:

Supplier:	Agora plus a.s.
Registered office:	Řípská 1321/11c, 627 00 Brno
Legal form:	Joint Stock Company
Company ID:	25503910

Data subject to evaluation:

A. Bid price in EUR exclusive of VAT:	
B. The option to expand the input data format above the required minimum of 4K	6K
C.1.1. Line test	59
C.1.2. MTF curve evaluation test	0.59
C.2.1. Density test	2.5
C.2.2. Edge sharpness	2.86
C.2.3. Dynamic range	2.80

Bid No. 4:

Supplier:	TRACO SYSTEMS a.s.
Registered office:	Radimova 2342/36 16900 Prague 6
Legal form:	Joint Stock Company
Company ID:	26200660

Data subject to evaluation:

A. Bid price in EUR exclusive of VAT:	
B. The option to expand the input data format above the required minimum of 4K	15K

C.1.1. Line test	43
C.1.2. MTF curve evaluation test	0.42
C.2.1. Density test	2.5
C.2.2. Edge sharpness	3.31
C.2.3. Dynamic range	3.5

5.2 Description of bid data evaluation as per individual evaluation criteria

The basic evaluation criterion is the **bid’s value for money**.

The evaluation shall be performed as follows:

The contracting authority used a scoring scale from 0 to 100 points to evaluate the bids. Each individual bid was assigned a point value based on each evaluation sub-criterion.

For the criterion that can be expressed as a numerical value, for which the best bid has a minimum criterion value, i.e. **A. Bid price**, the evaluated bid shall be assigned a point value established as a multiple of 100 and the ratio of the best bid value to the evaluated bid.

For criteria that can be expressed as a numerical value, for which the best bid has the maximum criterion value, i.e. **B. The option to expand the input data format above the required minimum of 4K** and **C. The scanned picture quality**, the evaluated bid shall be assigned a point value according to the rules stipulated in point **13. Bid evaluation method** of the tender documentation. Points allocated for individual sub-criteria shall be converted based on their associated weights and subsequently added up. The bid allocated the highest number of points based on the above formula will be evaluated as the best bid.

Evaluation sub-criteria:

- A. Bid price.....weight 45%
- B. The option to expand the input data format above the required minimum of 4K.....weight 5%
- C. The scanned picture quality.....weight 50%

of which:

C.1. Measurable test criterion

- C.1.1. Line test.....10%
- C.1.2. MTF curve.....10%
- C.1.3. Density test.....10%

C.2. Subjective evaluation criteria (visual evaluation of the picture)

- C.2.1. Edge sharpness.....10%
- C.2.2. Dynamic range.....10%

• **The overall evaluation was performed as follows:**

The Committee then performed an overall evaluation by multiplying the bid point values allocated based on sub-criteria A, B and C by associated weight of individual sub-criteria (the resulting value was rounded

up to 2 decimal points). Based on the total resulting values of individual bids the contracting authority determined the final order and the bid that was allocated the highest point value was determined to be the bid with best value for money.

5.3. Result of the bid evaluation

The Committee evaluated Bid No. 1 of INTERLAB as the best based on the overall evaluation of the bid's value for money.

6. RESULT OF THE BID EVALUATION SHOWING THE ORDER OF INDIVIDUAL BIDS:

		Bid 1	Bid 2	Bid 3	Bid 4
		Interlab	IVC, spol. s r.o.	Agora plus a.s.	Traco system a.s.
Criterion sequential number	Criterion:				
A	Bid price in EUR exclusive of VAT:				
	Number of points	71.69	55.66	72.97	100
	Number of points – weight 45%	32.26	25.05	32.83	45.00
B	K expansion	10	0	6	15/100
	Number of points according to the table in the tender documentation	100	0	40	100
	Number of points – weight 5%	5	0	2	5
C	Scanned picture quality				
C.1.1.	Line test	81	65	59	43
	Number of points	100	80.25	72.84	0
	Number of points – weight 10%	10	8.03	7.23	0
C.1.2.	MTF curve evaluation test	0.81	0.75	0.59	0.42
	Number of points	100	92.59	72.83	51.85
	Number of points – weight 10%	10	9.26	7.28	5.19
C.1.3.	Density test	4	4	2.5	2.5
	Number of points	100	100	0	0
	Number of points – weight 10%	10	10	0	0
C.2.1	Edge sharpness	1.16	2.53	2.86	3.31
	Number of points	96.00	61.75	53.50	42.25
	Number of points – weight 10%	9.60	6.17	5.35	4.22
C.2.2.	Dynamic range	1.12	2.30	2.80	3.50
	Number of points	97.00	67.50	55.00	37.50
	Number of points – weight 10%	9.70	6.75	5.50	3.75
	Total number of points in %	86.56	65.25	60.25	63.16
	ORDER	1	2	4	3

7. EVALUATION OF QUALIFICATION AND BID EVALUATION

After the above evaluation, the Committee evaluated the qualification criteria and completeness of the best bid, i.e. bid No. 1 of INTERLAB. Pursuant to the Act, the Committee did not perform these steps for the remaining bids.

The Committee found that Bid No. 1 of INTERLAB meets the qualification requirements and requirements for completeness as stipulated by the contracting authority. The overview of specific documents that were used as proof of compliance with these requirements will be included in the notification of supplier selection.

The contracting authority will require compliance with Section 122(3) of the Act at the time of sending the notification of supplier selection.

8. COMMITTEE RECCOMENDATION

The Committee members herewith confirm that the bid submitted by the above participant meets the required qualification requirements and conditions of participation stipulated in the public contract conditions. The Committee members recommend to the contracting authority to select the above participant's bid as the best one.

Committee member's first and last names	Signature
Hana Červenková	[signed]
Jaroslav Sládek	[signed]
Miroslav Čemus	[signed]
Martin Rajman	[signed]

Prague December 21, 2018

[signature]
ČESKÁ TELEVIZE
Petr Dvořák
General Director